UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/579,940	05/19/2006	Adolf Feinauer	2003P01766WOUS 9812		
	46726 7590 05/14/2010 BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 100 BOSCH BOULEVARD NEW BERN, NC 28562			ELOSHWAY, NIKI MARINA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3781		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/14/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

NBN-IntelProp@bshg.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/579,940	FEINAUER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		NIKI M. ELOSHWAY	3781			
- Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ja</u>	nuary 2010				
· ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
<i>'</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pane Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.					
Disposition	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>10-33</u> is/are pending in the application.					
۷	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>10-29</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>30-33</u> is/are objected to.					
Application Papers						
9)[]]	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 10-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casoli et al. (EP 1,335,171) in view of Cur et al. (EP 0,437,930). Casoli et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the intermediate space. Casoli et al. teach a single evacuated insulation space between the outer shell and the inner liner. Cur et al. teach that it is known to provide multiple compartments/spaces between the outer shell and inner liner. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the housing of Casoli et al. with an intermediate space between the space K of Casoli et al. and the inner liner 14 of Casoli et al., as taught by Cur et al., in order to improve the insulative properties of the housing.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 30--33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3781

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed January 28, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the proposed modification of Casoli is contrary to the teachings of Casoli. The Examiner disagrees with this position. The Casoli reference is directed to a refrigerator housing which uses holes or channels in the insulation material 20 for an easier and more effective evacuation process to create the vacuum conditions in the air space K. Cur teaches the use of panels having a plurality of panels. The proposed modification of the Casoli invention calls for the addition of an intermediate insulation cavity, as taught by Cur. It is the Examiner's position that the addition of an intermediate insulation cavity would be beneficial to the Casoli invention because it would improve the strength of the panel and increase the insulative characteristics. The compartments my be evacuated simultaneously still using a simple and economic manner, discussed by Casoli.
- 6. Regarding the modification in the rejection, Casoli teaches a single evacuation space between the inner and outer walls. Cur teaches a plurality of evacuation spaces between the inner and outer walls. When the single evacuation space of Casoli is replaced by a plurality of evacuation spaces, as taught by Cur, the insulation body is formed by walls (such as 30-32 of Cur) and the insulation body is inserted between the inner and outer walls 14 and 16 of Casoli. Therefore, the inner wall 14 is separate from the walls of the insulation body.
- 7. Applicant argues that Casoli does not teach an inner wall with at least one aperture. It is the Examiner's position that the inner wall of Casoli must have at least one aperture to receive the evaporator E/or related equipment, which can be considered internal attachments.
- 8. Regarding claim 19, it is the Examiner's position that the inner wall 14 of Casoli is mounted to the intermediate wall (a wall of the intermediate insulation cavity of Cur), to the degree set forth in the claim because they are fixedly secured to each other.

Art Unit: 3781

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NIKI M. ELOSHWAY whose telephone number is (571)272-4538. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3781

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Niki M. Eloshway/ Niki M. Eloshway Examiner Art Unit 3781

/Nathan J. Newhouse/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3782